

*Hora Hulubei National Institute of
Physics and Nuclear Engineering
5.09.2014, Magurele, Bucharest*

On Riemann-Hilbert Problems and new Soliton equations

V. S. Gerdjikov

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy
Sofia, Bulgaria

*It is my pleasure to thank the professor Isar, professor
Visinescu and Dr. Babalic for kind hospitality*

PLAN

- The inverse scattering method
- RHP with canonical normalization
- Jets of order k
- RHP, Reductions and Kac-Moody algebras
- New N -wave equations – $k \geq 2$
- mKdV equations related to simple Lie algebras
- Conclusions and open questions

Based on:

- V. S. Gerdjikov, D. J. Kaup. *Reductions of 3×3 polynomial bundles and new types of integrable 3-wave interactions*. In Nonlinear evolution equations: integrability and spectral methods, Ed. A. P. Fordy, A. Degasperis, M. Lakshmanan, Manchester University Press, (1981), p. 373–380
- V. S. Gerdjikov. On new types of integrable 4-wave interactions. AIP Conf. proc. **1487** pp. 272-279; (2012).
- V. S. Gerdjikov. Riemann-Hilbert Problems with canonical normalization and families of commuting operators. Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar. **21**, 201–216 (2012).
- V. S. Gerdjikov. Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with \mathbb{Z}_N and \mathbb{D}_N -Reductions. Romanian Journal of Physics, **58**, Nos. 5-6, 573-582 (2013).
- V. S. Gerdjikov, A. B. Yanovski *On soliton equations with \mathbb{Z}_h*

and \mathbb{D}_h reductions: conservation laws and generating operators.
J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **31**, 57–92 (2013).

- V. S. Gerdjikov, A B Yanovski. Riemann-Hilbert Problems, families of commuting operators and soliton equations Journal of Physics: Conference Series **482** (2014) 012017 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/482/1/012017

The inverse scattering method

The inverse scattering method for the N -wave equations – Zakharov, Shabat, Manakov (1973).

Lax representation:

$$\begin{aligned} [L, M] &\equiv 0, \\ L\psi &\equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + (U_1(x, t) - \lambda J)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0, \\ M\psi &\equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + (V_1(x, t) - \lambda K)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where J, K – constant diagonal matrices.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 & \text{ a) } & [J, K] &= 0, \\ \lambda & \text{ b) } & [U_1, K] + [J, V_1] &= 0, \\ \lambda^0 & \text{ c) } & iV_{1,x} - iU_{1,t} + [U_1, V_1] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Eq. a) is satisfied identically.

Eq. b) is satisfied identically if:

$$U_1(x, t) = [J, Q_1(x, t)], \quad V_1(x, t) = [K, Q_1(x, t)],$$

Then eq. c) becomes the N -wave equation:

$$i \left[J, \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} \right] - i \left[K, \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x} \right] + [[K, Q_1], [J, Q_1]] = 0.$$

Simplest non-trivial case:

$$N = 3, \quad \mathfrak{g} \simeq sl(3), \quad Q_1(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & u_3 \\ u_1^* & 0 & u_2 \\ u_3^* & u_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the 3-wave equations take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 u_2^* u_3 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - \frac{a_2 - a_3}{b_2 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_1 u_1^* u_3 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_3}{b_1 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_2 u_1^* u_2^* &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = a_1(b_2 - b_3) - a_2(b_1 - b_3) + a_3(b_1 - b_2).$$

Solving Nonlinear Cauchy problems by the Inverse scattering method

Find solution to the N -wave eqs. such that

$$Q_1(x, t = 0) = q_0(x).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 q_0 \longrightarrow & L_0 & L|_{t>0} \longrightarrow q(x, t) \\
 & \text{I} \downarrow & \uparrow \text{III} \\
 & T(0, \lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{II}} T(t, \lambda)
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Step I: Given $Q_1(x, t = 0) = q_0(x)$ construct the scattering matrix $T(\lambda, 0)$.

Jost solutions:

$$L\phi(x, \lambda) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \phi(x, \lambda) e^{i\lambda Jx} = \mathbb{1},$$

$$L\psi(x, \lambda) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x, \lambda) e^{i\lambda Jx} = \mathbb{1},$$

$$T(\lambda, 0) = \psi^{-1}(x, \lambda) \phi(x, \lambda).$$

Step II: From the Lax representation there follows:

$$i \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \lambda [K, T(\lambda, t)] = 0,$$

i.e.

$$T(\lambda, t) = e^{-i\lambda Kt} T(\lambda, 0) e^{i\lambda Kt}.$$

Step III: Given $T(\lambda, t)$ construct the potential $Q_1(x, t)$ for $t > 0$.

For $\mathfrak{g} \simeq sl(2)$ – GLM eq. – Volterra type integral equations

For higher rank simple Lie algebras – GLM eq. become Fredholm type integral equations, very complicated. But it can be reduced to Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Important: All steps reduce to **linear** integral equations.

Thus the nonlinear Cauchy problem reduces to a sequence of three **linear Cauchy problems**; each has unique solution!

The fundamental analytic solutions and Riemann-Hilbert problem

Shabat (1974) – introduced the fundamental analytic solutions of L .

$$\chi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \phi(x, t, \lambda)S^+(\lambda, t) = \psi(x, t, \lambda)T^-(\lambda, t)D^+(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

$$\chi^-(x, t, \lambda) = \phi(x, t, \lambda)S^-(\lambda, t) = \psi(x, t, \lambda)T^+(\lambda, t)D^-(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_-,$$

where $S^+(\lambda, t), T^+(\lambda, t)$ – upper-triangular matrices

$S^-(\lambda, t), T^-(\lambda, t)$ – lower-triangular matrices

$D^+(\lambda), D^-(\lambda)$ – diagonal matrices

Gauss decomposition of $T(\lambda, t)$:

$$T(\lambda, t) = T^-(\lambda, t)D^+(\lambda)\hat{S}^+(\lambda, t) = T^+(\lambda, t)D^-(\lambda)\hat{S}^-(\lambda, t).$$

Then

$$\chi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \chi^-(x, t, \lambda)G_0(\lambda, t), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad G_0(\lambda, t) = \hat{S}^-(\lambda, t)S^+(\lambda, t).$$

Introduce

$$\xi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \chi^+(x, t, \lambda)e^{i\lambda Jx}, \quad \xi^-(x, t, \lambda) = \chi^-(x, t, \lambda)e^{i\lambda Jx},$$

Then $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ are FAS of the linear problem:

$$i\frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x} + U_1(x, t)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda[J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

that satisfy RHP:

$$\xi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \xi^-(x, t, \lambda)G(x, t, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$i\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} - \lambda[J, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \lambda[K, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

Canonical normalization

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}.$$

Theorem 1 (Zakharov-Shabat). *Let $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ be solutions to the RHP whose sewing function depends on the auxiliary variables x and t as above. Then $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ are fundamental solutions of the following set of differential operators:*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}\xi^\pm &\equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x} + U(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda[J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \\ \tilde{M}\xi^\pm &\equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t} + V(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda[K, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Introduce the functions:

$$\begin{aligned}g^\pm(x, t, \lambda) &= i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x}\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) + \lambda\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)J\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \\ p^\pm(x, t, \lambda) &= i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t}\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) + \lambda\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)K\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda),\end{aligned}$$

and using

$$i\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} - \lambda[J, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \lambda[K, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

prove that

$$g^+(x, t, \lambda) = g^-(x, t, \lambda), \quad p^+(x, t, \lambda) = p^-(x, t, \lambda),$$

which means that these functions are analytic functions of λ in the whole complex λ -plane. Next we find that:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} g^+(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda J, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} p^+(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda K.$$

and make use of Liouville theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} g^+(x, t, \lambda) &= g^-(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda J - U_1(x, t), \\ p^+(x, t, \lambda) &= p^-(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda K - V_1(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

We shall see below that the coefficients $U_1(x, t)$ and $V_1(x, t)$ can be expressed in terms of the asymptotic coefficients Q_s of $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$.

Now remember the definition of $g^+(x, t, \lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} g^\pm(x, t, \lambda) &= i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x} \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) + \lambda \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) J \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \\ &= \lambda J - U_l(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides by $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ and move all the terms to the left:

$$i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x} + U_l(x, t) \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda [J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

i.e. $\tilde{L}\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = 0$ or $L\chi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = 0$. □

Zakharov-Shabat dressing method and soliton solutions

Starting from a regular solution $\chi_0^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ of $L_0(\lambda)$ with potential $Q_{(0)}(x, t)$ construct new singular solutions $\chi_1^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ of L with a potential $Q_{(1)}(x, t)$ with two pole singularities located at prescribed positions $\lambda_1^\pm \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$; the reduction $Q = Q^\dagger$ ensures that $\lambda_1^- = (\lambda_1^+)^*$. It is related to the regular one by a dressing factor $u(x, t, \lambda)$

$$\chi_1^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = u(x, \lambda) \chi_0^\pm(x, t, \lambda) u_-^{-1}(\lambda). \quad u_-(\lambda) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(x, \lambda) \quad (4)$$

Note that $u(x, \lambda)$ must satisfy

$$i \partial_x u + [J, Q_{(1)}(x)] u - u [J, Q_{(0)}(x)] - \lambda [J, u(x, \lambda)] = 0, \quad (5)$$

and the normalization condition $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} u(x, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}$.

The construction of $u(x, \lambda)$ is based on an appropriate ansatz specifying explicitly the form of its λ -dependence:

$$u(x, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + (c(\lambda) - 1)P(x, t), \quad c(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1^+}{\lambda - \lambda_1^-}, \quad (6)$$

where $P(x, t)$ is a projector

$$P(x, t) = \frac{|n_1(x, t)\rangle\langle n_1^\dagger(x, t)|}{\langle n_1^\dagger(x, t)|n_1(x, t)\rangle}, \quad |n_1(x, t)\rangle = \chi_0^+(x, t, \lambda_1^+) |n_{0,1}\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Taking the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in eq. (5) we get that

$$Q_{(1)}(x, t) - Q_{(0)}(x, t) = (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_1^+) [J, P(x, t)].$$

ISM as generalized Fourier transform

Based on the Wronskian relations

$$\rho_{ij}^\pm(\lambda, t) = \llbracket Q_1(x, t), e_{ji}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \rrbracket, \quad \llbracket X, Y \rrbracket = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr} (X, [J, Y]),$$

$$e_{ji}^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) = \pi_J \chi^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) E_{ij} \hat{\chi}^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda), \quad \pi_J X = \text{ad}_J^{-1} \text{ad}_J X.$$

But the ‘squared’ solutions satisfy completeness relation! So every function, including $Q_1(x, t)$ allows expansion

$$Q_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda \sum_{i < j} (\rho_{ij}^+ e_{ij}^+(x, t, \lambda) - \rho_{ji}^- e_{ji}^-(x, t, \lambda)) + \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Res} \dots \tag{8}$$

Hamiltonian hierarchies of N -wave equations

The Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} induces Poisson structure on the co-adjoint orbit passing through J .

The functions $D^\pm(\lambda)$ are t -independent and generate an infinite number of integrals of motion in involution.

$$\Omega_0 = \left[\left[\text{ad}_J^{-1} \delta Q_1 \wedge \text{ad}_J^{-1} \delta Q_1 \right] \right],$$

$$\Omega_p = \left[\left[\text{ad}_J^{-1} \delta Q_1 \wedge \Lambda^p \text{ad}_J^{-1} \delta Q_1 \right] \right],$$

where Λ is the recursion operator:

$$\Lambda e_{ij}^+(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda e_{ij}^+(x, t, \lambda).$$

see VSG, P. Kulish (1981) and VSG, Yanovski, Vilasi. *Integrable Hamiltonian Hierarchies. Spectral and Geometric Methods* Lecture Notes in Physics **748**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (2008).

Generalizations to polynomial Lax operators

$$[L, M] \equiv 0,$$

$$L\psi \equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + (U_2(x, t) + \lambda U_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 J)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$M\psi \equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + (V_2(x, t) + \lambda V_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 K)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

where J, K – constant diagonal matrices.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^4 \quad \text{a)} \quad & [J, K] = 0, & \lambda^3 \quad \text{b)} \quad & [U_1, K] + [J, V_1] = 0, \\ \lambda^2 \quad \text{c)} \quad & [U_1, V_1] - [U_2, K] - [J, V_2] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Eqs. a)–c) must be satisfied identically if

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x, t) &= [J, Q_1(x, t)], & V_1(x, t) &= [K, Q_1(x, t)], \\ U_2 &= [J, Q_2] - \frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 J, & U_2 &= [K, Q_2] - \frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 K. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain NLEE the generalization of the N -wave equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^1 \quad & \text{d)} \quad iV_{1,x} - iU_{1,t} + [U_2, V_1] + [U_1, V_2] = 0, \\ \lambda^0 \quad & \text{e)} \quad iV_{1,x} - iU_{1,t} + [U_2, V_1] + [U_1, V_2] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for the functions $Q_1(x, t)$ and $Q_2(x, t)$.

Note: Going to higher powers λ^k makes more complicated

1. the problem of correct parametrizing
2. Wronskian relations, ‘squared’ solutions, recursion operators
3. The potential functions of L and M

$$U(x, t, \lambda) = U_2(x, t) + \lambda U_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 J, \quad V(x, t, \lambda) = V_2(x, t) + \lambda V_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 K,$$

can be viewed as elements of a Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g}_{KM} .

4. Hamiltonian properties are on the co-adjoint orbits of the \mathfrak{g}_{KM} .

RHP with canonical normalization

$$\xi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \xi^-(x, t, \lambda)G(x, t, \lambda), \quad \lambda^k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \xi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1},$$

$$\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{G}$$

Consider particular type of dependence $G(x, t, \lambda)$:

$$i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} - \lambda^k [J, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \lambda^k [K, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

where $J \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

The canonical normalization of the RHP:

$$\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = \exp Q(x, t, \lambda), \quad Q(x, t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(x, t) \lambda^{-k}.$$

where all $Q_k(x, t) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Q(x, t, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{KM}}$. However,

$$\mathcal{J}(x, t, \lambda) = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) J \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \quad \mathcal{K}(x, t, \lambda) = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) K \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda),$$

belong to the algebra \mathfrak{g} for any J and K from \mathfrak{g} . If in addition K also belongs to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , then

$$[\mathcal{J}(x, t, \lambda), \mathcal{K}(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

Generalized Zakharov-Shabat theorem

Theorem 2. *Let $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ be solutions to the RHP whose sewing function depends on the auxiliary variables x and t as above. Then $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ are fundamental solutions of the following set of differential operators:*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}\xi^\pm &\equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x} + U(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k[J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \\ \tilde{M}\xi^\pm &\equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t} + V(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k[K, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Introduce the functions:

$$\begin{aligned}g^\pm(x, t, \lambda) &= i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x}\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) + \lambda^k\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)J\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \\ p^\pm(x, t, \lambda) &= i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t}\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) + \lambda^k\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)K\hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda),\end{aligned}$$

and using

$$i\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} - \lambda^k [J, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \lambda^k [K, G(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

prove that

$$g^+(x, t, \lambda) = g^-(x, t, \lambda), \quad p^+(x, t, \lambda) = p^-(x, t, \lambda),$$

which means that these functions are analytic functions of λ in the whole complex λ -plane. Next we find that:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} g^+(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k J, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} p^+(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k K.$$

and make use of Liouville theorem to get

$$g^+(x, t, \lambda) = g^-(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k J - \sum_{l=1}^k U_l(x, t) \lambda^{k-l},$$

$$p^+(x, t, \lambda) = p^-(x, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k K - \sum_{l=1}^k V_l(x, t) \lambda^{k-l}.$$

We shall see below that the coefficients $U_l(x, t)$ and $V_l(x, t)$ can be expressed in terms of the asymptotic coefficients Q_s of $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$.

Now remember the definition of $g^+(x, t, \lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} g^\pm(x, t, \lambda) &= i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x} \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) + \lambda^k \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) J \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \\ &= \lambda^k J - \sum_{l=1}^k U_l(x, t) \lambda^{k-l}, \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides by $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ and move all the terms to the left:

$$i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^k U_l(x, t) \lambda^{k-l} \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k [J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

i.e. $\tilde{L}\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = 0$ and $L\chi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = 0$ where $\chi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)e^{-i\lambda^k Jx}$. □

Lemma 1. *The operators L and M commute*

$$[L, M] = 0,$$

i.e. the following set of equations hold:

$$i \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + [U(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k J, V(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k K] = 0.$$

where

$$U(x, t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^k U_l(x, t) \lambda^{k-l}, \quad V(x, t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^k V_l(x, t) \lambda^{k-l}.$$

Jets of order k

How to parametrize $U(x, t, \lambda)$ and $V(x, t, \lambda)$?

Use:

$$\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) = \exp Q(x, t, \lambda), \quad Q(x, t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(x, t) \lambda^{-k}.$$

and consider the jets of order k of $\mathcal{J}_+(x, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{K}_+(x, \lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_+(x, t, \lambda) &\equiv \left(\lambda^k \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) J_l \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \right)_+ = \lambda^k J - U(x, t, \lambda), \\ \mathcal{K}_+(x, t, \lambda) &\equiv \left(\lambda^k \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) K \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \right)_+ = \lambda^k K - V(x, t, \lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Express $U(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$ in terms of $Q_s(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}(x, t, \lambda) &\equiv \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) J \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \\ &= J + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \text{ad}_Q^k J,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}(x, t, \lambda) &\equiv \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) K \hat{\xi}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \\ &= K + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \text{ad}_Q^k K,\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ad}_Q Z = [Q, Z], \quad \text{ad}_Q^2 Z = [Q, [Q, Z]], \quad \dots$$

and therefore for U_l we get:

$$U_1(x, t) = -\text{ad}_{Q_1} J, \quad U_2(x, t) = -\text{ad}_{Q_2} J - \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 J$$

$$U_3(x, t) = -\text{ad}_{Q_3} J - \frac{1}{2} (\text{ad}_{Q_2} \text{ad}_{Q_1} + \text{ad}_{Q_1} \text{ad}_{Q_2}) J - \frac{1}{6} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^3 J.$$

and similar expressions for $V_l(x, t)$ with J replaced by K .

Reductions of polynomial bundles

Using $\mathcal{J}_+(x, t, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{K}_+(x, t, \lambda)$ we end up with a set of NLEE for the coefficients $Q_1(x, t), Q_2(x, t), \dots, Q_k(x, t)$. Too many functions, too complicated equations.

They can be simplified by using Mikhailov's reduction group:

\mathbb{Z}_2 -reductions (involutions):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a)} \quad & A\xi^{+, \dagger}(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{A} = \hat{\xi}^-(x, t, \lambda), & AQ^\dagger(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{A} &= -Q(x, t, \lambda), \\ \text{b)} \quad & B\xi^{+, *}(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{B} = \xi^-(x, t, \lambda), & BQ^*(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{B} &= Q(x, t, \lambda), \\ \text{c)} \quad & C\xi^{+, T}(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{C} = \hat{\xi}^-(x, t, \lambda), & CQ^\dagger(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{C} &= -Q(x, t, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and A , B and C are elements of the group \mathfrak{G} such that $A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = \mathbb{1}$.

\mathbb{Z}_N -reductions:

$$D\xi^\pm(x, t, \omega\lambda)\hat{D} = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \quad DQ(x, t, \omega\lambda)\hat{D} = Q(x, t, \lambda),$$

where $\omega^h = 1$ and $D^h = \mathbb{1}$.

If D is the Coxeter element of \mathfrak{g} then $Q(x, t, \lambda)$ belongs to the corresponding \mathfrak{g}_{KM} of height 1.

If D is the Coxeter element of \mathfrak{g} composed by V – an external automorphism of \mathfrak{g} then $Q(x, t, \lambda)$ belongs to the corresponding \mathfrak{g}_{KM} of height 2 or 3.

On N -wave equations – $k = 1$

Lax representation involves two Lax operators linear in λ :

$$L\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x} + [J, Q(x, t)]\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda[J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$M\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t} + [K, Q(x, t)]\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda[K, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

The corresponding equations take the form:

$$i \left[J, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right] - i \left[K, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right] - [[J, Q], [K, Q(x, t)]] = 0$$

$$Q(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & u_3 \\ -v_1 & 0 & u_2 \\ -v_3 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} J &= \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3), \\ K &= \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3), \end{aligned}$$

Then the 3-wave equations take the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 u_2^* u_3 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - \frac{a_2 - a_3}{b_2 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_1 u_1^* u_3 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_3}{b_1 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_2 u_1^* u_2^* &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = a_1(b_2 - b_3) - a_2(b_1 - b_3) + a_3(b_1 - b_2).$$

New 3-wave equations – $k \geq 2$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ and

$$Q_1(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & u_3 \\ -v_1 & 0 & u_2 \\ -v_3 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & w_1 & w_3 \\ -z_1 & q_{22} & w_2 \\ -z_3 & -z_2 & q_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

Fix up $k = 2$. Then the Lax pair becomes

$$L\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x} + U(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^2[J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$M\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t} + V(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^2[K, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

where

$$U \equiv U_2 + \lambda U_1 = \left([J, Q_2(x)] - \frac{1}{2}[[J, Q_1], Q_1(x)] \right) + \lambda[J, Q_1],$$

$$V \equiv V_2 + \lambda V_1 = \left([K, Q_2(x)] - \frac{1}{2}[[K, Q_1], Q_1(x)] \right) + \lambda[K, Q_1].$$

Impose a \mathbb{Z}_2 -reduction of type a) with $A = \text{diag}(1, \epsilon, 1)$, $\epsilon^2 = 1$. Thus Q_1 and Q_2 get reduced into:

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 \\ \epsilon u_1^* & 0 & u_2 \\ 0 & \epsilon u_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & w_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w_3^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we obtain new type of integrable 3-wave equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \epsilon \kappa u_2^* u_3 + \epsilon \frac{\kappa(a_1 - a_2)}{(a_1 - a_3)} u_1 |u_2|^2 &= 0, \\
i(a_2 - a_3) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - i(b_2 - b_3) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \epsilon \kappa u_1^* u_3 - \epsilon \frac{\kappa(a_2 - a_3)}{(a_1 - a_3)} |u_1|^2 u_2 &= 0, \\
i(a_1 - a_3) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_3) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{i\kappa}{a_1 - a_3} \frac{\partial(u_1 u_2)}{\partial x} \\
+ \epsilon \kappa \left(\frac{a_1 - a_2}{a_1 - a_3} |u_1|^2 + \frac{a_2 - a_3}{a_1 - a_3} |u_2|^2 \right) u_1 u_2 + \epsilon \kappa u_3 (|u_1|^2 - |u_2|^2) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = a_1(b_2 - b_3) - a_2(b_1 - b_3) + a_3(b_1 - b_2), \quad u_3 = w_3 + \frac{2a_2 - a_1 - a_3}{2(a_1 - a_3)} u_1 u_2.$$

The diagonal terms in the Lax representation are λ -independent.

Two of them read:

$$i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial |u_1|^2}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial |u_1|^2}{\partial x} - \epsilon \kappa (u_1 u_2 u_3^* - u_1^* u_2^* u_3) = 0,$$

$$i(a_2 - a_3) \frac{\partial |u_2|^2}{\partial t} - i(b_2 - b_3) \frac{\partial |u_2|^2}{\partial x} - \epsilon \kappa (u_1 u_2 u_3^* - u_1^* u_2^* u_3) = 0,$$

These relations are satisfied identically as a consequence of the NLEE.

New types of 4-wave interactions

The Lax pair for these new equations will be provided by:

$$L\psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + (U_2(x, t) + \lambda U_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 J) \psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

$$M\psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (V_2(x, t) + \lambda V_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 K) \psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

where $U_j(x, t)$ and $V_j(x, t)$ are fast decaying smooth functions taking values in the Lie algebra $so(5)$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x, t) &= [J, Q_1(x, t)], & U_2(x, t) &= [J, Q_2(x, t)] - \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 J, \\ V_1(x, t) &= [K, Q_1(x, t)], & V_2(x, t) &= [K, Q_2(x, t)] - \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 K. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{ad}_{Q_1} X \equiv [Q_1(x, t), X]$.

Assume $Q_1(x, t)$ and $Q_2(x, t)$ to be generic elements of $so(5)$:

$$Q_1(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (q_\alpha^1 E_\alpha + p_\alpha^1 E_{-\alpha}) + r_1^1 H_{e_1} + r_2^1 H_{e_2},$$

$$Q_2(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (q_\alpha^2 E_\alpha + p_\alpha^2 E_{-\alpha}) + r_1^2 H_{e_1} + r_2^2 H_{e_2},$$

$$J = a_1 H_{e_1} + a_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, 0, -a_2, -a_1),$$

$$K = b_1 H_{e_1} + b_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, 0, -b_2, -b_1),$$

Next we impose on $Q_1(x, t)$ and $Q_2(x, t)$ the natural reduction

$$B_0 U(x, t, \epsilon \lambda^*)^\dagger B_0^{-1} = U(x, t, \lambda), \quad B_0 = \text{diag}(1, \epsilon, 1, \epsilon, 1), \quad \epsilon^2 = 1.$$

As a result:

$$B_0(\chi^+(x, t, \epsilon \lambda^*))^\dagger B_0^{-1} = (\chi^-(x, t, \lambda))^{-1}, \quad B_0(T(t, \epsilon \lambda^*))^\dagger B_0^{-1} = (T(t, \lambda))^{-1},$$

which provide $p_\alpha^1 = \epsilon(q_\alpha^1)^*$, $p_\alpha^2 = \epsilon(q_\alpha^2)^*$. Then the Lax representation will be a (rather complicated) system of 8 NLEE for the 8 independent matrix elements q_α^1 and q_α^2 . Additional \mathbb{Z}_2 reduction condition

$$D\xi^\pm(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{D} = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \quad DQ(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{D} = Q(x, t, \lambda),$$

$$D = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, 1)$$

$$Q_1(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 & u_3 & 0 \\ v_1 & 0 & u_2 & 0 & u_3 \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ v_3 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & u_1 \\ 0 & v_3 & 0 & v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_2(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 & u_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -w_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -v_4 & 0 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J = a_1 H_{e_1} + a_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, 0, -a_2, -a_1),$$

$$K = b_1 H_{e_1} + b_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, 0, -b_2, -b_1),$$

Combining both reductions for the matrix elements of $Q_j(x, t)$ we have:

$$v_1 = \epsilon u_1^*, \quad v_2 = \epsilon u_2^*, \quad v_3 = \epsilon u_3^*, \quad v_4 = u_4^*,$$

The commutativity condition for the Lax pair

$$i \left(\frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} \right) - i \left(\frac{\partial U_2}{\partial t} + \lambda \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} \right) + [U_2 + \lambda U_1 - \lambda^2 J, V_2 + \lambda V_1 - \lambda^2 K] = 0$$

must hold identically with respect to λ . The terms proportional to λ^4 , λ^3 and λ^2 vanish identically. The term proportional to λ and the λ -independent term vanish provided Q_i satisfy the NLEE:

$$i \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} + [U_2, V_1] + [U_1, V_1] = 0,$$

$$i \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial t} + [U_2, V_2] = 0.$$

In components the corresponding NLEE:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + 2i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon u_2^* (\epsilon u_2^* u_3 - u_1 u_2 - 2u_4) = 0, \\
& -2ia_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} + 2ib_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \kappa (u_2 \epsilon (|u_3|^2 - |u_1|^2) + 2u_3 u_4^* + 2\epsilon u_1^* u_4) = 0, \\
& -2i(a_1 + a_2) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} + 2i(b_1 + b_2) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + \kappa u_2 (\epsilon u_2^* u_3 - u_1 u_2 + 2u_4) = 0, \\
& -2ia_1 \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial t} + 2ib_1 \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (-(2a_2 - a_1) u_1 u_2 + (2a_2 + a_1) \epsilon u_2^* u_3) \\
& + i(2b_2 - b_1) \frac{\partial (u_1 u_2)}{\partial x} - i(2b_2 + b_1) \epsilon \frac{\partial (u_2^* u_3)}{\partial x} - \kappa (2\epsilon u_4 (|u_1|^2 - |u_3|^2) \\
& + \epsilon u_1 u_2 (|u_1|^2 + 3|u_3|^2) - u_3 u_2^* (3|u_1|^2 + |u_3|^2)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

NLS and MKdV-type equations with $sl(n)$ -series

Drinfeld, Sokolov (1981).

$$\begin{aligned}L\psi &\equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + U(x, t, \lambda)\psi = 0, \\M\psi &\equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + V(x, t, \lambda)\psi = \psi C(\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

For the case of \mathbb{Z}_N -reduction (Mikhailov (1981)):

$$C_1 U(x, t, \lambda) C_1^{-1} = U(x, t, \omega\lambda), \quad C_1 V(x, t, \lambda) C_1^{-1} = V(x, t, \omega\lambda),$$

where $C_1^N = \mathbb{1}$ is a Coxeter automorphism of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and the group of reduction is \mathbb{Z}_N . The class of relevant NLEE may be considered as generalizations of the derivative

NLS equations

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial t} + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi k}{N} \right) \cdot \psi_{k,x} + i \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \psi_p \psi_{k-p} \right) = 0,$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$, where γ is a constant and the index $k - p$ should be understood modulus N and $\psi_0 = \psi_N = 0$.

The automorphism Ad_{C_1} ($\text{Ad}_{C_1}(Y) \equiv C_1 Y C_1^{-1}$ for every Y from \mathfrak{g}) defines a grading in the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{sl}(N, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathfrak{g}^{(k)},$$

$$J^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \omega^{kj} E_{j,j+s}, \quad C^{-1} J^{(k)} C = \omega^{-k} J^{(k)}.$$

where $(E_{j,s})_{q,r} = \delta_{jq} \delta_{sr}$. Obviously

$$\left[J^{(k)}, J_l^{(m)} \right] = (\omega^{ms} - \omega^{kl}) J_{s+l}^{(k+m)}.$$

Examples of DNLS-type equations

If $N = 5$ we can apply the involution: $\psi_0 = \psi_5 = 0$, $\psi_1 = \psi_4^*$, $\psi_2 = \psi_3^*$, i.e., we have only two independent complex-valued fields and

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial t} + \gamma\cotan\frac{\pi}{5}\frac{\partial^2\psi_1}{\partial x^2} + i\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2\psi_2\psi_1^* + (\psi_2^*)^2) &= 0, \\ i\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial t} + \gamma\cotan\frac{2\pi}{5}\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial x^2} + i\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2\psi_1^*\psi_2^* + (\psi_1)^2) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For $N = 6$ and $\psi_1 = \psi_5^*$, $\psi_2 = \psi_4^*$, $\psi_3 = \psi_3^*$, so we have a system for two complex-valued fields ψ_1 and ψ_2 and the real field ψ_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial t} + \gamma\cotan\frac{\pi}{6}\frac{\partial^2\psi_1}{\partial x^2} + 2i\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\psi_1^*\psi_2 + \psi_2^*\psi_3) &= 0, \\ i\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial t} + \gamma\cotan\frac{2\pi}{6}\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial x^2} + i\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\psi_1^2 + 2\psi_1^*\psi_3 + (\psi_2^*)^2) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial\psi_3}{\partial t} + 2\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\psi_1\psi_2 + \psi_1^*\psi_2^*) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Examples of MKdV-type equations

Next choose $U(x, t, \lambda)$ and $V(x, t, \lambda)$ as follows:

$$U(x, t, \lambda) = Q(x, t) - \lambda J, \quad Q(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \psi_j(x, t) J_j^{(0)}, \quad J = a J_0^{(1)}$$

$$V(x, t, \lambda) = V_3(x, t) + \lambda V_2(x, t) + \lambda^2 V_1(x, t) - \lambda^3 K,$$

where

$$V_1(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^N v_k^1(x, t) J_k^{(2)}, \quad V_2(x, t) = \sum_{l=1}^N v_l^2(x, t) J_l^{(1)},$$

$$V_3(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} v_j^3(x, t) J_j^{(0)}, \quad K = b J_0^{(3)}.$$

The constants a and b determine the dispersion law of the MKdV eqs.

The next step is to request that $[L, M] = 0$ identically with respect to λ .

$$v_k^1(x, t) = \frac{b}{a} (\omega^{2k} + \omega^k + 1) \psi_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

and $v_N^1 = C(t)$ with $C(t)$ - arbitrary function of time. For

$$v_l^2(x, t) = \frac{b}{a^2} \sum_{j+k=l}^{N-1} \frac{\omega^{2l} + \omega^{2j+k} - \omega^k - 1}{1 - \omega^l} \psi_j \psi_k \\ + i \frac{b}{a^2} \left(\frac{\omega^{2l} + \omega^l + 1}{1 - \omega^l} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_l}{\partial x} - \frac{C}{a} (\omega^l + 1) \psi_l,$$

for $l = 1, \dots, N - 1$ and

$$v_N^2 = -\frac{b}{a^2} \sum_{j+l=0}^{N-1} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi j}{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi_j \psi_l + D(t),$$

with $D(t)$ - another arbitrary function of time. And for

$$v_j^3 = \frac{b}{a^3} \cot \left(\frac{\pi j}{N} \right) \sum_{k+l=j}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_k \psi_l) + \frac{C}{a^2} \sum_{m+l=j}^{N-1} (\psi_m \psi_l) \\ + \frac{b}{2a^3} \sum_{k+l=j}^{N-1} \frac{\cos \frac{\pi(k-l)}{N}}{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_k \psi_l) - \frac{D}{a} \psi_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{b}{a^3} \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \sum_{i+k=l}^{N-1} (\psi_i \psi_k \psi_m) + \frac{3b}{2a^3} \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi l}{N} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_l}{\partial x} \psi_m \\
& + \frac{b}{a^3} \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \sum_{i+k=l}^{N-1} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(j-2k)}{N} - \sin \frac{\pi(j-2m)}{N}}{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N}} (\psi_i \psi_k \psi_m) \\
& - \frac{b}{4a^3} \cot \left(\frac{\pi j}{N} \right) \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_l \psi_m) + \frac{C}{a^2} \cot \left(\frac{\pi j}{N} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial x} \\
& - \frac{b}{2a^3} \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \frac{\cos \frac{\pi(l-m)}{N}}{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_l \psi_m) + \frac{b}{a^3} \left(\cot^2 \frac{\pi j}{N} - \frac{1}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi j}{N}} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \psi_j}{\partial x^2} \\
& + \frac{b}{a^3} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi k}{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right) (\psi_k \psi_{N-k} \psi_j)
\end{aligned}$$

where j is running from 1 to $N-1$. We choose $C(t) = 0$ and $D(t) = 0$.

In the end we get the following system of mKdV equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial t} &= \left(\cot^2 \frac{\pi j}{N} - \frac{1}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi j}{N}} \right) \frac{\partial^3 \psi_j}{\partial x^3} + \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \sum_{i+k=l}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_i \psi_k \psi_m) \\
&+ \sum_{l+m=j}^{N-1} \sum_{i+k=l}^{N-1} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(j-2k)}{N} - \sin \frac{\pi(j-2m)}{N}}{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_i \psi_k \psi_m) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi k}{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_k \psi_{N-k} \psi_j) + \frac{3}{4} \cot \left(\frac{\pi j}{N} \right) \sum_{k+l=j}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\psi_k \psi_l) \\
&+ \frac{3}{4} \sum_{k+l=j}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi l}{N} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_l}{\partial x} \psi_k + \cot \left(\frac{\pi k}{N} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial x} \psi_l \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = a^3/b$.

In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ algebra we obtain the well-known MKdV equation

$$\alpha \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial x^3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_1^3).$$

In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ algebra we have the system of trivial equations $\partial_t \psi_1 = 0$ and $\partial_t \psi_2 = 0$. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ algebra we find:

$$\alpha \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial x^3} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x} \psi_3 \right) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_1 \psi_2^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_3^3),$$

$$\alpha \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_2}{\partial x^3} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\psi_1^2) - \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\psi_3^2) + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_2^3), \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \psi_3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\psi_1 \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_2^2 \psi_3) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_1^3).$$

If we apply case a) we get the same set of MKdV equations with ψ_1, ψ_2 and ψ_3 purely real functions.

In the case b) we put $\psi_1 = -\psi_3^* = u$ and $\psi_2 = -\psi_2^* = iv$ and get:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} + \frac{3}{4i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u^2 - u^{*,2}) - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (|u|^2 v) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (v^3), \\ \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} - i \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(u^* \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (uv^2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} ((u^*)^3),\end{aligned}$$

where u is a complex function, but v is a purely real function.

In the case c):

$$\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^3),$$

where u is a complex function, we recover the MKdV equation. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(6, \mathbb{C})$ algebra with \mathbb{D}_6 -reduction in the case c) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= 2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} - 2\sqrt{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) - 6 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (uv^2), \\ \alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= \sqrt{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u^2) - 6 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^2 v),\end{aligned}$$

where u and v are complex functions.

MKdV and $so(8)$

Normally with each simple Lie algebra one can associate just one MKdV eq. The only exception is $so(8)$ which allows a one-parameter family of MKdV equations. The reason is that only $so(8)$ has 3 as a double exponent!

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q_1 = & 2a \left[\partial_x^3 q_1 - \sqrt{3} \partial_x (q_1 \partial_x q_2) \right] - \sqrt{3} \left[(3a + b) \partial_x (q_4 \partial_x q_3) + (3a - b) \partial_x (q_3 \partial_x q_4) \right] \\ & - 3 \partial_x \left[q_1 (2a q_2^2 + (a - b) q_3^2 + (a + b) q_4^2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q_2 = & \sqrt{3} a \partial_x^2 q_1^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (a + b) \partial_x^2 q_3^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (a - b) \partial_x^2 q_4^2 \\ & - 3 \partial_x \left[q_2 (2a q_1^2 + (a + b) q_3^2 + (a - b) q_4^2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q_3 = & -(a + b) \left[\partial_x^3 q_3 - \sqrt{3} \partial_x (q_3 \partial_x q_2) \right] - \sqrt{3} \left[(3a + b) \partial_x (q_4 \partial_x q_1) + 2b \partial_x (q_1 \partial_x q_4) \right] \\ & + 3 \partial_x \left[q_3 (2a q_4^2 + (a - b) q_1^2 + (a + b) q_2^2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q_4 = & -(a - b) \left[\partial_x^3 q_4 - \sqrt{3} \partial_x (q_4 \partial_x q_2) \right] - \sqrt{3} \left[(3a - b) \partial_x (q_3 \partial_x q_1) - 2b \partial_x (q_1 \partial_x q_3) \right] \\ & + 3 \partial_x \left[q_4 (2a q_3^2 + (a - b) q_2^2 + (a + b) q_1^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusions and open questions

- More classes of new integrable equations: i) higher rank simple Lie algebras; ii) different types of grading; iii) different power k of the polynomials $U(x, t, \lambda)$ and $V(x, t, \lambda)$ and iv) different reductions of U and V .
- These new NLEE must be Hamiltonian. View the jets $U(x, t, \lambda)$ and $V(x, t, \lambda)$ as elements of co-adjoint orbits of some Kac-Moody algebra.
- Apply Zakharov-Shabat dressing method for constructing their N -soliton solutions and study their interactions.
- ‘Squared’ solutions, Recursion operators, Hamiltonian hierarchies
- Apply the above methods to twisted Kac-Moody algebras – work in progress

Thank you for your
attention!