The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
00000000	0000	0000	00000	

Singular sets of Yang-Mills minimizers in dimension higher than 4

Mircea Petrache, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Paris 6

July 30, 2015

Partial Regularity 0000 Optimality 00000 Questions

THE PLATEAU PROBLEM

Question: Which is the surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of smallest area with a fixed boundary γ ?

Parametric approach [Douglas-Radó]

- $u: D^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ immersion, $u|_{\partial D^2}$ parameterization of γ .
- ► Minimize

$$A(u) := \int_{D^2} |\partial_x u \times \partial_y u|.$$

• Problem:

Large invariance group $Diff^+(D^2)$.



The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

A NON-INTEGRABLE PLATEAU PROBLEM

- M compact Riemannian manifold, P → M "principal G bundle" with a connection (~ horizontal distribution Q).
- Fix $Q|_{\partial M}$.
- ► Question: Which is the best extension of *Q* inside *M*? Find the "most integrable" *Q*.

Frobenius: *Q* integrable \Leftrightarrow [*X*, *Y*] \in *Q* for all *X*, *Y* \in *Q*.

Minimize
$$\int_M \sum_{i,j} |\operatorname{Vert}^{\mathbb{Q}}([\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j])|^2 = \int_M |F|^2.$$



(ロト (四ト (ヨト (ヨト)))

Sac

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

THE YANG-MILLS LAGRANGIAN

A is a 1-form with coeff. in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G.

(e.g. $G = SU(2), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$) $Q \sim \nabla \stackrel{loc}{=} d + A \sim$ connection.

$$\mathcal{Y}M(A) = \int_{B} |F_A|^2 dx = \int_{B} |dA + A \wedge A|^2 dx,$$

- ► Yang-Mills fields are critical points under perturbation *A* + *ta*
- Yang-Mills equation: D^{*}_AF_A = 0 (recall Bianchi identity D_AF_A = 0)
- in coordinates:

$$\sum_{i} \partial_{x_i} F_{ij} + [A_i, F_{ij}] = 0 \quad \text{Bianchi:} \sum_{perm \ i,j,k} \partial_{x_k} F_{ij} + [A_k, F_{ij}] = 0 .$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

G = U(1) = {e^{iθ}}: electromagnetism, g ≃ ℝ, D_A = d, D^{*}_A = d^{*} and F_A = dA. d^{*}F_A = 0, dF_A = 0 become curl F = 0, div F = 0.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

WHY TO STUDY YANG-MILLS THEORY AT VERY LOW REGULARITY?

- ► 4D theory brought groundbreaking results (e.g. Donaldson '84)
- ► 4D constructions: direct minimization (Uhlenbeck '82, Sedlacek '82), algebraic constructions (Atyiah-Hitchin-Drinfeld-Manin '78), gluing (Taubes)
- Geometric program by Tian '00, Donaldson-Segal '11 for gauge theory in higher dimension.
- ► Algebraic or gluing construction for Yang-Mills connections in dim. n ≥ 5?

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian W	Veak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000	0000	00000	

COMPACTNESS AND REGULARITY

► Like in the parametric Plateau, gauge invariance. For g : Bⁿ → G we have

$$A_i^g = g^{-1} \partial_{x_i} g + g^{-1} A_i g$$
, $F_{ij}^g := g^{-1} F_{ij} g$, $|g^{-1} F g| = |F|$.

- ► Large invariance group ... How to break the gauge?
- ► Inspired by the abelian case, look after Coulomb condition $\sum_i \partial_{x_i} A_i = 0$

i.e. solve:
$$\operatorname{div}(g^{-1}\nabla g) = -\operatorname{div}(g^{-1}\vec{A}g)$$
.
Yang-Mills: $\forall j \, \Delta A_j^g = \sum_i [A_i^g, \partial_{x_j} A_i^g] - [A_i^g, [A_i^g, A_j^g]]$.

In these coordinates the equations are elliptic quasilinear, critical in 4D.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
00000000	0000	0000	00000	

A NAÏVE APPROACH:

$$\min_{g \in W^{1,2}(B,G)} \int_B |g^{-1} \nabla g + g^{-1} \vec{A} g|^2 dx \, .$$

- ► Problem 1: we get a minimizer A^g ∈ L² and not in W^{1,2}: bad for regularity
- ▶ Problem 2: A^g is not controlled by ||F||_{L²}, but only by A: bad for compactness

Theorem (Uhlenbeck '82)

Let $n \leq 4$. Then $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $||dA + A \wedge A||_{L^2} \leq \epsilon_0$ and $A \in W^{1,2}$ then \exists gauge $g \in W^{2,2}$ such that

$$||A^{g}||_{W^{1,2}} \leq C ||dA + A \wedge A||_{L^{2}}, \quad and \quad d^{*}A^{g} = 0.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results 0000	Partial Regularity 0000	Optimality 00000	Questions

MINIMIZATION IN 4D

The correct space where to study the Yang-Mills Lagrangian in 4D is given by Sobolev connections:

$$\mathcal{A}^{1,2}(M) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \in L^2(M) \text{ s.t. } YM(A) < \infty \text{ and} \\ \text{locally } \exists g : U \to G, \quad A^g \in W^{1,2} \end{array} \right\}$$

► Let $A_k \in \mathcal{A}^{1,2}(E)$, $F_k \stackrel{L^2}{\rightharpoonup} F$, $\sup_k ||F_k||_{L^2} \leq C$. Then up to subsequence $A_k \stackrel{W_{loc}^{1,2}}{\xrightarrow{}} A$ outside finitely many points.

• $A \in \mathcal{A}^{1,2}(\tilde{E})$ (Uhlenbeck, point removability)

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
00000000	0000	0000	00000	

SUPERCRITICAL DIMENSIONS

- The limit connection might not be $W_{loc}^{1,2}$ anymore in dimension 5!
- ► Fact: $A^g \in W^{1,2}_{glob}(S^4)$; $A^g \in W^{1,2}_{glob}(\partial B^5_r)$ then the bundle is trivial:

$$tr(F \wedge F) = d\left[tr\left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A\right)\right].$$

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^4} tr(F \wedge F) = c_2(E) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Chern number}$$

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

SUPERCRITICAL DIMENSIONS

• Loss of $W^{1,2}$ -regularity for n = 5: [Tian '00, Tao-Tian '04]

•
$$X_k := *tr(F_k \wedge F_k)$$
 with $F_k \stackrel{L^2}{\rightharpoonup} F$.



- Chern classes are $\neq 0$ over $\partial B_r(x^{\pm})$ for all r > 0.
- ► What is the correct replacement for $A^{1,2}$?

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0 000	0000	00000	

WEAK CONNECTIONS AND CLOSURE RESULTS

[P.- Rivière, '13]: definition of space $\mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$ of weak connections. Theorem (P.- Rivière, '13) Let $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$ such that $||F_k||_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^5)} \leq C$ and F_k weak- L^2 converge to F. Then $F = dA + A \land A, A \in \mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$ as well.

$$\mathcal{A}_{G}(\mathbb{B}^{5}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \in L^{2}, \ F_{A} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}'}{=} dA + A \wedge A \in L^{2} \\\\ \forall p \in \mathbb{B}^{5} \text{ a.e. } r > 0, \ \exists A(r) \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\partial B_{r}(p)) \\\\ i^{*}_{\partial B_{r}(p)}A \sim A(r) \end{array} \right\}$$

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

WEAK CONNECTIONS AND CLOSURE RESULTS

[P.- Rivière, '13]: definition of space $\mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$ of weak connections.

Theorem (P.- Rivière, '13) Let $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$ such that $||F_k||_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^5)} \leq C$ and F_k weak- L^2 converge to F. Then $F = dA + A \wedge A$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$ as well.

Ingredients of the proof:

- ► Geometric "distance" on slices: dist(A, B) = inf{||A - B^g||_{L²(S⁴)}| g ∈ G}.
- ► Oscillation control on slices: $dist(A(t), A(t')) \le C ||F||_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^5)} |t - t'|^{1/2}.$
- ► Sublevels of $||F||_{L^2(S^4)}$ are sequentially compact for dist.

► *MBV*-compactness type result.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

SLICING METHODS

Theorem (Federer-Fleming, '60) Let $I_j \rightarrow I$ be a weakly convergent sequence of integral k-currents such that

$$\sup_{j} \mathbb{M}(I_{j}) \leq C, \quad \sup_{j} \mathbb{M}(\partial I_{j}) \leq C.$$

Then I is an integral current as well.

Qualitative ~~ Quantitative Closure ~~ Compactness

- ► Jerrard '02, White '99 relation to the compactness of *BV* functions
- Ambrosio-Kirchheim '00 extend the closure theorem to metric currents
- ► Hardt-Rivière '03 introduce the notion of scans De Pauw-Hardt

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

SLICING AND SCANS

► I integral 1-current in R³. Slices by parallel planes:



- We obtain $f : \mathbb{R} \to (I_0(\mathbb{R}^2), d_{flat})$ of metric bounded variation.
- $d_{flat}(\mathbf{X}, Y) := \inf\{\mathbb{M}(A) + \mathbb{M}(B) : \mathbf{X} Y = \partial A + B\}$



The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

REGULARITY OF MINIMIZING WEAK CURVATURES

Yang-Mills minimizers in 5D have isolated singular points:

Theorem (P.- Rivière, '13)

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_{G}^{\phi}(\mathbb{B}^{5})$ be a minimizer (or stationary critical point) for the Yang-Mills-Plateau problem. Then A corresponds to a smooth connection over a classical bundle $E \to \mathbb{B}^{5} \setminus S$, where S is a set of isolated points.

► [Tian, '00], [Tao-Tian, '04] considered *admissible* Yang-Mills connections (smooth outside a compact 1-rectifiable set): smaller class, with no weak closure ⇒ no existence of minimizers.

Ingredients:

- ▶ Approximation by R[∞]_G(B⁵), i.e. connections with isolated defects.
- Uhlenbeck ε-regularity in Morrey norms [Meyer-Rivière, '03], [Tao-Tian, '04].

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

MORREY APPROXIMABILITY AND REGULARITY

Theorem (Meyer- Rivière '03, Tao-Tian '04) If A, F are approximable by smooth A_i , F_i in $L^2(B^n)$ norm and

$$\sup_{x,r}\frac{1}{r^{n-4}}\int_{B_r(x)}|F_i|^2<\epsilon_1\;,$$

then $\exists g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{B}^n, G)$ *such that* $A^g = g^{-1}dg + g^{-1}Ag$ *satisfies*

•
$$d^*A^g = 0$$
 on \mathbb{B}^5 ,

►

$$\left(\sup_{x,r}\frac{1}{r^{n-4}}\int_{B_r(x)}|A^g|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \left(\sup_{x,r}\frac{1}{r^{n-4}}\int_{B_r(x)}|DA^g|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\|F\|_{M(\mathbb{B}^5)} \,.$$

・ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

APPROXIMATION OF WEAK CURVATURES

$$\mathcal{R}^{\infty,\phi}(\mathbb{B}^5) := \begin{cases} F \text{ corresponding to some } [A] \in \mathcal{A}^{\phi}_G(\mathbb{B}^5) \text{ s.t.} \\\\ \exists k, \exists a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{B}^5, \quad F = F_{\nabla} \text{ for a smooth connection} \nabla \\\\ \text{ on some smooth } G \text{-bundle } E \to \mathbb{B}^5 \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (P.- Rivière, '13)

Let $[A] \in \mathcal{A}^{\phi}_{G}(\mathbb{B}^{5}), F \in L^{2}(\mathbb{B}^{5})$ curvature form corresp. to $A \in [A]$. Then there exist $F_{k} \in \mathcal{R}^{\infty,\phi}(\mathbb{B}^{5})$ such that

$$A_k \xrightarrow{L^2} A$$
, $F_k \xrightarrow{L^2} F$.

If $||F||_M \leq \epsilon_0$ then we may find A_k , F_k locally smooth with $||F_k||_M \leq \epsilon_1$. Strategy like for harmonic maps: [Bethuel, '91] did $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{S}^n)$ instead of $\mathcal{A}_G(\mathbb{B}^5)$.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
00000000	0000	0000	00000	

THE PROOF OF PARTIAL REGULARITY

- ▶ If $||F||^2_{M(\mathbb{B}^5)} < \epsilon_1$ then up to rescaling, for "good grid" $\int_{\mathbb{S}^4} |F|^2 < \epsilon_1$
- We then extend smoothly even on "bad" and obtain F_i, A_i as needed for the Morrey-Uhlenbeck ε-regularity.
- ► Obtain Coulomb gauge A^g with Morrey control and satisfying Yang-Mills' equation $\Delta A^g = -d^*[A^g, A^g] *[A^g, *F_{A^g}].$
- ► Apply regularity theory from [Meyer- Rivière '03]: *A*, *F* approximable, stationary Yang-Mills, $\frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r(x)} |F|^2 < \epsilon$ then A smooth on $B_{r/2}(x)$.

• Dimension reduction gives the result.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Question
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

RELATION WITH COHERENT REFLEXIVE SHEAVES Algebraic singularities: *S* is a *coherent* sheaf of *O*-modules if

- 1. (analytic structure) $\mathcal{O}_U^p \to \mathcal{S}_U \to 0$
- 2. (finiteness) $\mathcal{O}_{U}^{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{U} \rightarrow 0.$

S is reflexive if " $S = S^{**"}$. Singular set sing(S) := { $x \in M : S_x$ not free}

Theorem

S reflexive coherent sheaf over M^n then $dim_{\mathbb{C}}(sing(S)) \leq n-3$.

Conjecture (Tian '00)

 (ω, M) Kähler manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$, F curvature form of a Hermitian vector bundle which is

• compatible: $F^{0,2} = 0$

•
$$\omega^{n-2}$$
-anti-selfdual: $*(\omega^{n-2} \wedge F) = -F$

Then sing(F) rectifiable of $dim_{\mathbb{C}} \le n - 3$ (and calibrated by ω). (Next goal: Isolated singular points for compatible F in 6 dimensions.)

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	0000	

IS THE 5D RESULT OPTIMAL?

Theorem (P. '13)

In 5D, the radial instanton $A_{\mathbb{B}^5} := \left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)^* A_{\mathbb{S}^4}$ is energy-minimizing.

- ► cfr. [Hardt-Lin-Wang '97] $x/|x| : \mathbb{B}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is a minimizing (*p*-)harmonic map
- ► In particular, conjecture of [Tian '00] needs the complex structure condition (A_{B⁵} is *dr*-antiselfdual)
- ► For the proof, use the technique of [P. '13] giving the regularity for the abelian case.

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	0000	

DISCRETIZING THE PROBLEM

Theorem (Smirnov, '94) Let X be an acyclic normal 1-current on \mathbb{B}^3 . There exists a finite positive Borel measure μ on the space of arcs such that

$$\begin{split} \langle X, \omega \rangle &= \int \langle [\gamma], \omega \rangle d\mu(\gamma), \\ \langle \|X\|, \phi \rangle &= \int \langle \|[\gamma]\|, \phi \rangle d\mu(\gamma), \\ \langle \partial X, f \rangle &= \int \langle \partial [\gamma], f \rangle d\mu(\gamma), \\ \langle \|\partial X\|, \phi \rangle &= \int \langle \|\partial [\gamma]\|, \phi \rangle d\mu(\gamma), \end{split}$$

for all $\omega \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}^3, \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^3), f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}^3), \phi \in C^0(\mathbb{B}^3).$

Represent X by its "flow-lines"



 Borel partition of arcs based on their endpoints

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian We	eak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
00000000 00	000	0000	00000	

THE PROOF OF MINIMALITY

- Weak closure \Rightarrow there exists a minimizer $[A] \in \mathcal{A}_{SU(n)}^{A_{\mathbb{S}^4}}(\mathbb{B}^5)$
- Use approximation result: [A] is approximated by $[\tilde{A}] \in \mathcal{R}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}^5)$

- Smirnov-decompose the vector field $X = *tr(F_{\tilde{A}} \wedge F_{\tilde{A}})$
- Obtain combinatorial problem (on Borel sets of arcs)
- ► Find combinatorial competitor

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

THE COMBINATORIAL PICTURES



- Reduce to combinatorial problem
- ► Prove that there exists only one charge via Maxflow-Mincut



Similar methods give regularity in Abelian case ($roup U(\bar{1})$ in $roup of Control (<math>roup U(\bar{1})$) in $roup of Control (<math>roup O(\bar{1})$ in $roup of Control (<math>roup O(\bar{1})$) in $roup of Control (<math>roup O(\bar{1})$) in roup of Controup

The Yang-Mills Lagrangian	Weak closure results	Partial Regularity	Optimality	Questions
0000000	0000	0000	00000	

SOME INTERESTING QUESTIONS/TOPICS

- Asymptotics of large number of interacting topological sigularities (Coulomb/Riesz gasses = linear model problem cf. [P-Serfaty '15], [P '15])
- 2. Extending $u \in W^{1,n}(\mathbb{S}^n, M)$ to $U \in W^{1,n+1}_{weak}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, M)$ by inserting topological singularities, with norm control cf. [P-Riviere '14], [P-Van Schaftingen, coming soon!]
- 3. Minimal connections between topological singularities and weak sequential approximability cf. [Bethuel '14], [P-Züst '14]
- 4. Relation to optimal transportation and extension of Smirnov's method cf. [P-Brasco '12], [P '13]
- 5. Use the approximation method to construct the Yang-Mills-Gibbs measure

$$d\mu_T([A]) = \frac{1}{Z_T} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{T}\mathcal{YM}(A)\right) D[A]$$

(presently known by probabilists in 2D only! cf. [Levy, Levy-Norris '00-'05])